

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,065		08/05/2003	Dennis Joseph Coyle	121689-1	1316	
6147	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER		
GENERA GLOBAL		TRIC COMPANY	EASHOO, MARK			
		RM. BLDG. K1-4A5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NISKAYU	JNA, NY	12309	1732			
				DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·		\sim		
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/635	,065	COYLE, DENNIS	JOSEPH		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit			
			ashoo, Ph.D.	1732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress		
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be ti statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 August 20</i>	03				
		2b)⊠ This action is					
3)	· _						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction	re withdrawn from					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or	b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is req	uired if the drawing(s) is of	ojected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	e Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	` '						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTC)-152)		

Art Unit: 1732

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of embossing a film, classified in class 264, subclass 284.
- II. Claims 17-22, drawn to an apparatus for producing a film, classified in class 425, subclass 385. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of groups I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as forming reinforced sheeting for transfer molding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: If group I is elected, then the following species apply:

- A.) that without a carrier film.
- B.) that with a carrier film that is not laminated to an embossed.
- C.) that forming a embossed-carrier film laminate.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1732

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1197. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3pm EST, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 coll-free).

Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

August 29, 2005